

**REMARKS****§ 112 Rejections**

Claims 1-4, 7-19 and 37 stand rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards (or Applicants regard) as the invention.

Each of the independent claims, i.e. Claim 1, 18 and 19, have been amended to recite “a surface exposed to the outdoors comprising a radiation cured coating . . . “

This amendment is supported by the application such as p. 7, lines 28-29; p. 10, lines 27-29; p. 11, lines 6-17, the examples at pp. 30-37, and Figures 3 & 4.

**§ 102 Rejections**

Claims 1-4, 7-19 and 37 stand rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Lu (US 5670096).

The amendment made to overcome the 112 rejection is believed to also overcome the 102 rejection. Reconsideration and a timely allowance are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

January 25, 2006

Date

By: /Carolyn A. Fischer/

Carolyn A. Fischer, Reg. No.: 39,091

Telephone No.: 651-575-3915

Office of Intellectual Property Counsel  
3M Innovative Properties Company  
Facsimile No.: 651-736-3833